COMMISSIONER'S EXAMINATION SURVEY RESULTS COMPARISON 2019 to 2020

of

Strongly

Strongly

No

112 Responses or 62.2% Response Rate – 2020 144 Responses or 65.8% Response Rate – 2019

CONSOLIDATED ALL REGIONS, TRUST & IT

	# 01		atrongly			atrongly		NO
I. EXAMINATION PROCESS	Responses	Year	Agree	Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Yes	Opinion
 The examiners clearly communicated the examination scope and goals to management prior to the start of the examination. 	112	2020	69%	29%		1%		1%
	144	2019	64%	35%	1%			
0.7								
The examiners requests for information prior to and during the examination were timely and reasonable.	112	2020	67%	29%	3%			1%
	144	2019	62%	36%	1%			1%
3. DEX is an efficient method to provide requested information to examiners securely (if applicable).	112	2020	48%	31%	3%			18%
	144	2019	46%	43%	3%	1%		7%
The examination team acted in a professional and courteous manner during the examination.	112	2020	83%	15%	1%			1%
	144	2019	74%	24%	1%	1%		
The examiners communicated with management throughout the examination.	112	2020	76%	21%	1%			2%
	144	2019	72%	26%	1%	1%		
6. The examiners are informed of current industry issues	112	2020	64%	34%				2%
and were knowledgeable of your bank.	144	2019	56%	38%	3%			3%
7. In what areas, if any, do you feel the examiners need additional training or education? Attach additional paper if necessary:	112	2020						
	144	2019						
The examiners remain focused on the key issues confronting your institution.	112	2020	60%	39%				1%
	144	2019	51%	46%	2%	1%		1.70
3,								
The examiners clearly and effectively communicated their findings and concerns at the exit and board meetings.	112	2020	70%	26%	3%			1%
	144	2019	66%	32%	1%	1%		
10. Conclusions regarding the healt's condition were well	112	2020	64%	32%	3%			1%
Conclusions regarding the bank's condition were well supported.	144	2020	54%	43%	2%	1%		170
Supportou.	144	2013	3476	4376	270	170		
11. Recommendations for corrective actions were reasonable.	112	2020	60%	34%	1%			5%
	144	2019	51%	43%	1%			5%
12. Did any events or comments take place during the	112	2020	92%			1	5%	3%
examination that you felt were surprising, unfair, unreasonable or not in conformance with exam policy?	144	2019	96%				4%	
			NO				YES	

COMMISSIONER'S EXAMINATION SURVEY RESULTS COMPARISON 2019 to 2020

of

Strongly

112 Responses or 62.2% Response Rate – 2020 144 Responses or 65.8% Response Rate – 2019

CONSOLIDATED ALL REGIONS, TRUST & IT

accessible and helpful to discuss exam findings.

			o og.,			oog.,		
II. EXAMINATION REPORTS	Responses	Year	Agree	Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Yes	Opinion
The examination report was received in a timely	112	2020	69%	29%	1%			1%
fashion.	144	2019	67%	31%	1%			1%
2. The report of examination clearly communicates the	112	2020	69%	29%	1%			1%
examination findings and provides useful information.	144	2019	63%	35%	1%			1%
3. The tone and content of the report of examination is	112	2020	75%	22%	2%			1%
consistent with the board and/or exit meetings.	144	2019	64%	32%	1%	1%		2%
	# of		Strongly			Strongly		No
III. EXAMINATION SCOPE AND CORRESPONDENCE	Responses	Year	Agree	Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Yes	Opinion
1. The examination was conducted without placing an	112	2020	52%	46%	1%			1%
undue burden on the institution.	144	2019	43%	52%	3%	1%		1%
2. The on-site portion of the examination was completed	112	2020	61%	28%				11%
2. The on-site portion of the examination was completed in a reasonable timeframe.	112 144	2020 2019	61% 60%	28% 38%				11% 2%
in a reasonable timeframe.								
in a reasonable timeframe. 3. The use of pre-examination time through gathering					1%			
in a reasonable timeframe.	144	2019	60%	38%	1%			2%
in a reasonable timeframe. 3. The use of pre-examination time through gathering documents and working off-site worked well and saved the	144 112 144	2019	69%	38% 29%				2% 1%

144

2019

56%

34%

Strongly

No