## COMMISSIONER'S EXAMINATION SURVEY RESULTS **COMPARISON 2018 to 2019**

144 Responses or 65.8% Response Rate – 2019 115 Responses or 60.5% Response Rate – 2018

## CONSOLIDATED ALL REGIONS, TRUST & IT

I. EXAMINATION PROCESS	# of Responses	Year	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Yes	No Opinion
<ol> <li>The examiners clearly communicated the examination scope and goals to management prior to the start of the examination.</li> </ol>	144	2019	64%	35%	1%			
	115	2018	75%	24%	1%			
The examiners requests for information prior to and during the examination were timely and reasonable.	144	2019	62%	36%	1%			1%
	115	2018	68%	31%	1%			
DEX is an efficient method to provide requested information to examiners securely (if applicable).	144	2019	46%	43%	3%	1%		7%
	115	2018	48%	39%	2%	2%		9%
The examination team acted in a professional and courteous manner during the examination.	144	2019	74%	24%	1%	1%		
	115	2018	80%	19%	1%			
The examiners communicated with management throughout the examination.	144	2019	72%	26%	1%	1%		
	115	2018	75%	22%	2%	1%		
6. The examiners are informed of current industry issues and were knowledgeable of your bank.	144	2019	56%	38%	3%			3%
	115	2018	64%	32%	1%			3%
7. In what areas, if any, do you feel the examiners need additional training or education? Attach additional paper if necessary:	444	0040						
	144	2019						
	115	2018						
8. The examiners remain focused on the key issues confronting your institution.	144	2019	51%	46%	2%	1%		
	115	2018	58%	38%	2%			2%
<ol> <li>The examiners clearly and effectively communicated their findings and concerns at the exit and board meetings.</li> </ol>	144	2019	66%	32%	1%	1%		
	115	2018	70%	27%	2%	1%		
			l					
<ol><li>Conclusions regarding the bank's condition were well supported.</li></ol>	144 115	2019	54% 58%	43% 39%	2% 2%	1%		1%
Supported.	110	2010	0070	0370	270			170
Recommendations for corrective actions were reasonable	144	2019	51%	43%	1%			5%
rodonabio.	115	2018	56%	35%	3%			6%
12. Did any events or comments take place during the examination that you felt were surprising, unfair, unreasonable or not in conformance with exam policy?	144 115	2019	96% 94%				4% 6%	
	110	2010	NO NO				YES	

## COMMISSIONER'S EXAMINATION SURVEY RESULTS COMPARISON 2018 to 2019

# of

Strongly

Strongly

No

144 Responses or 65.8% Response Rate – 2019 115 Responses or 60.5% Response Rate – 2018

## CONSOLIDATED ALL REGIONS, TRUST & IT

II. EXAMINATION REPORTS	Responses	Year	Agree	Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Yes	Opinion
<ol> <li>The examination report was received in a timely</li> </ol>	144	2019	67%	31%	1%			1%
fashion.	115	2018	73%	24%	2%	1%		
<ol><li>The report of examination clearly communicates the examination findings and provides useful information.</li></ol>	144	2019	63%	35%	1%			1%
	115	2018	73%	24%	2%	1%		
<ol><li>The tone and content of the report of examination is</li></ol>	144	2019	64%	32%	1%	1%		2%
consistent with the board and/or exit meetings.	115	2018	72%	25%	3%			
			•	•	•			·
	# of		Strongly			Strongly		No
III. EXAMINATION SCOPE AND CORRESPONDENCE  1. The examination was conducted without placing an undue burden on the institution.	Responses	Year	Agree	Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Yes	Opinion
	144	2019	43%	52%	3%	1%		1%
	115	2018	58%	35%	4%	3%		
O. The condition of the condition tier was accordated.		2010	000/	000/				00/
2. The on-site portion of the examination was completed	144	2019	60%	38%				2%
in a reasonable timeframe.	115	2018	68%	29%				3%
3. The use of pre-examination time through gathering	444	0040	C40/	0.40/	00/			00/
documents and working off-site worked well and saved	144	2019	61%	34%	3%			2%
the bank time	115	2018	68%	26%	4%	1%		1%
				<u> </u>				
4. The Regional Office and Headquarters staff were	144	2019	56%	34%	1%			9%
readily accessible and helpful to discuss exam findings.	115	2018	69%	26%		2%		3%